



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/073,400	02/11/2002	Raffaele Bechemucci	AX/121	3983

1473 7390 10/27/2003

FISH & NEAVE
1251 AVENUE OF THE AMERICAS
50TH FLOOR
NEW YORK, NY 10020-1105

EXAMINER

LAZOR, MICHELLE A

ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER

1734

DATE MAILED: 10/27/2003

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Office Action Summary	Application No.		Applicant(s)	
	10/073,400		BECHERUCCI ET AL.	
	Examiner		Art Unit	
	Michelle A Lazor		1734	

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --
Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30) days will be considered timely.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).
- Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 12 September 2003.
- 2a) ☐ This action is FINAL. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 1-31 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) 27-31 is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 1-14, 16-19 and 21-26 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☒ Claim(s) 15 and 20 is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☐ The drawing(s) filed on _____ is/are: a) ☐ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
- 11) ☐ The proposed drawing correction filed on _____ is: a) ☐ approved b) ☐ disapproved by the Examiner.
If approved, corrected drawings are required in reply to this Office action.
- 12) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120

- 13) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
1. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
2. ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
3. ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.
- 14) ☒ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(e) (to a provisional application).
a) ☐ The translation of the foreign language provisional application has been received.
- 15) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for domestic priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 120 and/or 121.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413) Paper No(s). _____
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application (PTO-152)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper No(s) 10/10/02. 6) ☐ Other:

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

1. Applicant's election without traverse of Claims 1 – 26 in paper received on 12 September 2003 is acknowledged.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

2. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

3. Claims 1 – 4, 6 – 9, 11 – 14, 17, 21 – 23, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Hammond et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5090350).

Regarding Claims 1 – 4, 21 – 23, and 25, Hammond et al. disclose a workstation wherein at least one of said units is of a first type comprising a cabinet for providing a controlled ambient during the performance of a step of said process (400); and a rotating vertical support structure (about a vertical axis, V) disposed in said cabinet, said support structure having a plurality of fixtures disposed in an annular region thereon, wherein a said fixture comprises a structure for receiving said components therein along a path substantially perpendicular to the face of said rotating vertical support structure; wherein said cabinet further comprises an annular compartment having said controlled ambient (Figures 1, 3, and 7A), and wherein said held components travel through said annular compartment as said vertical support structure rotates during the performance of said process step; and wherein said the temperature of said controlled

ambient is controlled by flow of heated air through said cabinet (column 9, line 43 – column 10, line 37). Thus Hammond et al. disclose all the limitations of Claims 1 – 4, 21 – 23, and 25, and anticipate the claimed invention.

Regarding Claims 6 – 9, Hammond et al. disclose a control unit to control rotation of said vertical support structure, wherein the rotation of said vertical support structure is intermittent with alternating periods of rotation and periods of pause, and wherein at least one of said fixtures is aligned with a predetermined position during said periods of pause; wherein the angle of rotation during a said period of rotation is capable of being less than about $\frac{1}{2}$ radian; and wherein said predetermined position corresponds to a position for loading and unloading components from said aligned fixture (column 3, line 65 – column 4, line 13). Thus Hammond et al. disclose all the limitations of Claims 6 – 9, and anticipate the claimed invention.

Regarding Claims 11 – 14, Hammond et al. disclose a first one of said plurality of units is capable of preheating said components (column 5, line 47 - column 6, line 19), wherein a second one of said plurality of units is capable of applying resin to said components; wherein said second one of said plurality of units is a unit of said first type; wherein a multiplicity of said fixtures in said second one of said plurality of units are aligned with a multiplicity of resin-receiving positions, and wherein at said resin-receiving positions resin is applied to components loaded in said aligned fixtures (Figures 1, 5A and 5B). Thus Hammond et al. disclose all the limitations of Claims 11 – 14, and anticipate the claimed invention.

Regarding Claim 17, Hammond et al. disclose one of said plurality of units heats said components to cure the resin applied to said components (column 9, lines 43 – 60). Thus Hammond et al. disclose all the limitations of Claim 17, and anticipate the claimed invention.

Regarding Claim 26, Hammond et al. disclose said cabinet further comprises a compartment for cooling said components after the performance of said process step (column 10, lines 18 – 27). Thus Hammond et al. disclose all the limitations of Claim 26, and anticipate the claimed invention.

4. Claims 1 – 3, 6, 17, 21, 22, 25, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Jenkins (U.S. Patent No. 4730575).

Regarding Claims 1 – 3, 21, 22 and 25, Jenkins discloses a workstation wherein at least one of said units is of a first type comprising a cabinet for providing a controlled ambient during the performance of a step of said process (Figures 1 & 2); and a rotating vertical support structure disposed in said cabinet, said support structure having a plurality of fixtures disposed in an annular region thereon, wherein a said fixture comprises a structure for receiving said components therein along a path substantially perpendicular to the face of said rotating vertical support structure, wherein said cabinet further comprises an annular compartment having said controlled ambient (Figure 5B); and wherein said held components travel through said annular compartment as said vertical support structure rotates during the performance of said process step; (column 3, line 36 – 52). Thus Jenkins discloses all the limitations of Claims 1 – 3, 21, 22 and 25, and anticipates the claimed invention.

Regarding Claims 6, 17, and 26, Jenkins discloses a control unit or known means capable of controlling rotation of said vertical support structure (column 3, lines 36 – 39); a unit which heats said components to cure the resin applied to said components (column 3, line 21 – 52); and a compartment for cooling said components after the performance of said process step (Figure

5B; column 3, lines 52 – 56). Thus Jenkins discloses all the limitations of Claims 6 – 9, and anticipate the claimed invention.

5. Claims 1, 4, 6, 17, 21, 23, and 25 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Wrench (U.S. Patent No. 3390661).

Wrench discloses a workstation wherein at least one of said units is of a first type comprising a cabinet for providing a controlled ambient during the performance of a step of said process; and a rotating vertical support structure, which is rotating the rotatable table, disposed in said cabinet, said support structure having a plurality of fixtures disposed in an annular region thereon (Figures 1 and 2), wherein a temperature of said controlled ambient is controlled by flow of heated air through said cabinet (column 3, lines 44 – 54); and further comprising a control unit or conventional variable drive means (column 3, lines 3 – 13). Thus Wrench discloses all the limitations of Claims 1, 4, 6, 17, 21, 23, and 25, and anticipates the claimed invention.

6. Claims 1, 2 – 4, 6 – 10, 17, 21 – 23, and 26 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Swain et al. (U.S. Patent No. 5433572).

Regarding Claims 1, 2 – 4, and 21 – 23, Swain et al. disclose a workstation wherein at least one of said units is of a first type comprising a cabinet for providing a controlled ambient during the performance of a step of said process (Figure 5); and a rotating vertical support structure (column 10, lines 4 – 37) disposed in said cabinet, said support structure having a plurality of fixtures disposed in an annular region thereon, wherein said fixture comprises a structure for receiving said components therein along a path substantially perpendicular to the face of said rotating vertical support structure; wherein said cabinet further comprises an annular compartment having said controlled ambient (Figures 2, 3, and 5), and wherein said the

temperature of said controlled ambient is controlled by flow of heated air through said cabinet (column 14, lines 23 – 43). Thus Swain et al. disclose all the limitations of Claims 1, 2 – 4, and 21 – 23, and anticipate the claimed invention.

Regarding Claims 6 – 10, Swain et al. disclose a control unit (column 7 line 54 – column 8, line 17) to control rotation of said vertical support structure, wherein the rotation of said vertical support structure is capable of being intermittent with alternating periods of rotation and periods of pause, and wherein at least one of said fixtures is aligned with a predetermined position during said periods of pause; wherein the angle of rotation during a said period of rotation is capable of being less than about $\frac{1}{2}$ radian; wherein said predetermined position corresponds to a position for loading and unloading components from said aligned fixture (column 10, lines 4 – 37); and wherein said plurality of units are disposed adjacent each other (Figure 5), said workstation further comprising movable transfer devices disposed alongside said plurality of units, wherein said movable transfer devices are capable of motion in linear spatial dimensions (column 13, lines 39 – 48 and column 4, line 48 – column 5, line 50). Thus Swain et al. disclose all the limitations of Claims 6 – 10, and anticipate the claimed invention.

Regarding Claim 17, Swain et al. disclose one of said plurality of units heats said components to cure the resin applied to said components (column 14, lines 23 – 43). Thus Swain et al. disclose all the limitations of Claim 17, and anticipate the claimed invention.

Regarding Claim 26, Swain et al. disclose said cabinet further comprises a compartment for cooling said components after the performance of said process step (column 14, lines 23 – 43). Thus Swain et al. disclose all the limitations of Claim 26, and anticipate the claimed invention.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

8. Claims 11 – 14, 18, and 19 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swain et al. as applied in Claim 1 above, in view of Hammond et al.

Regarding Claims 11 – 14 and 18, Swain et al. disclose all the limitations of Claim 1, including a unit which applies resin to said components (column 14, lines 5 – 22); wherein said second one of said plurality of units is a unit of said first type (Figure 5); wherein a multiplicity of said fixtures in said second one of said plurality of units are aligned with a multiplicity of resin-receiving positions, and wherein at said resin-receiving positions resin is applied to components loaded in said aligned fixtures (Figure 5; column 10, lines 4 – 37); a resin-curing unit (column 14, lines 23 – 43); movable transfer devices disposed alongside said plurality of units (column 13, lines 39 – 48 and column 4, line 48 – column 5, line 50); and a control unit (column 7, line 54 – column 8, line 17), but does not disclose a unit capable of preheating said components. However, Hammond et al. disclose a unit capable of preheating said components (column 5, line 47 - column 6, line 19). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to preheat the substrates to equilibrate the substrates to the required process temperature to counteract evaporative cooling (column 6, lines 9 – 19).

Regarding Claim 19, the control unit disclosed by Swain et al. is considered capable of synchronizing the intermittent rotation of said vertical support structures such that the periods of pause in said preheating unit, in said resin application unit, and in said resin curing unit occur substantially simultaneously such that said vertical support structures are stationary at substantially a same time interval (column 5, line 47 - column 6, line 19).

9. Claims 5 and 24 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swain et al. as applied in Claims 1 and 21 above, in view of Masahumi (U.S. Patent No. 5254164).

Swain et al. disclose all the limitations of Claims 5 and 24, but do not disclose said fixtures to comprise open structures that allow air to circulate there through and expose substantial surface portions of said held components to said controlled ambient. However, Masahumi discloses an open structure fixture (column 7, lines 28 – 41). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to design the fixtures with an open structure to seal and adequately hold the substrate in place (column 7, lines 35 – 41).

10. Claim 16 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Swain et al. and Hammond et al. as applied in Claim 13 above, in view of Toussaint et al. (U.S. Patent No. 3619240) and Allen et al. (U.S. Patent No. 3901180).

Swain et al. and Hammond et al. disclose all the limitations of Claim 13, but do not disclose said plurality of units to further comprise vertically movable resin-bearing trays that are disposed vertically below said resin-receiving positions. However, Toussaint et al. disclose using either immersion or an atomizer to coat a substance on a substrate (column 3, line 73 – column 4, line 3), and Allen et al. disclose a tank which can be raised vertically to coat substrates

(Abstract). Therefore it would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention to use immersion means to coat a substance on a substrate as an alternative to an atomizer, and it would have been obvious to use a tank which can be raised vertically to facilitate coating of the substrates.

Allowable Subject Matter

11. Claim 15 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

There was no reference in the prior art search that disclosed, taught, or suggested said second one of said plurality of units further comprises resin-dispensing units that are disposed vertically above said resin-receiving positions. Prior art disclosed a resin-dispensing unit, such as an atomizer, but not multiple resin-dispensing units.

12. Claim 20 is objected to as being dependent upon a rejected base claim, but would be allowable if rewritten in independent form including all of the limitations of the base claim and any intervening claims.

There was no reference in the prior art search that disclosed, taught, or suggested said control unit which supervises said transfer devices to load and unload components from said plurality of units *during said same time interval* while said vertical support structures are *stationary*.


Conclusion


13. The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. Hageman et al. (U.S. Patent No. 1900104), Phelps et al. (U.S. Patent no. 1655290), and Robie et al. (U.S. Patent No. 6302961) all disclose workstations which include rotating vertical support structures having a plurality of fixtures disposed in an annular region thereon..

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Michelle A Lazor whose telephone number is 703-305-7976. The examiner can normally be reached on Mon - Thurs 6:30 - 4:00, Fridays 6:30 - 3:00.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Richard Crispino can be reached on 703-308-3853. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is (703) 872-9306.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is 703-308-0661.


MAL


RICHARD CRISPINO
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER
TECHNOLOGY CENTER 1700